| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 10/721,959 | VARADARAJ, RAMESH |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Peter A. Hruskoci | 1724 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> . | (3) | · |
| (2) Gary P. Katz. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>1 September 2005</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | · . |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 4/29. | /05 | |
| Claims discussed: 1 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Prior Art of Record | | 1 |
| Part II. | · | • |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend claim 1 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of page 4 lines 10-26 of the instant specification. | | |
| Part III. | | • |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Atter a Shuskow | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |